

Date	Affiliation (If Any)	Comment
9/19/2025	Resident along project	*Received notice of noise analysis the following week* Commented that noise doesn't bother her as she has multiple kids/grandkids around all of the time.
9/19/2025	Resident along project	*Received notice of noise analysis the following week* Did not have a problem with the noise analysis process
9/19/2025	Resident along project	*Received notice of noise analysis the following week* Was worried about the truckers and where they are going to eat their lunch breaks and park their vehicles after the reconstruction. Is curious, but not against the project. She's excited for something to watch for the next few years out of her window.
10/9/2025	Resident along project	Thank you Dave. I appreciate you reaching out with the timing. Troy
10/22/2025	Active Transportation	Dear Ms. Gregerson, I am concerned about the bicycle safety at the proposed roundabout in the realignment of Minnesota Route 60. I am an experienced rider: I bike in and around Wabasha nearly every day, all year long; I have ridden on rural roads and city streets. I have negotiated roundabouts designed for motor vehicles, and without bike-friendly modifications, I can testify that they are dangerous to bicycles. I can also testify to the risk of serious injury at relatively low speeds. This past August, I crashed one of my bicycles going across Wabasha interstate bridge. I swerved to avoid a piece of metal on the shoulder and hit the rumble strip, a safety feature for motorized vehicles but a hazard to bikes. The vibrations caused me to lose control, and I crashed. I was running Strava, a mobile app that records speed and distance traveled. Strava showed that I was moving at 26 miles per hour when I crashed. Even at this relatively low speed, I suffered a broken clavicle, a broken hand, five broken ribs, a punctured lung, and a pseudoaneurysm in my carotid artery. I spent six days in a hospital, and a physician told me that had I not been wearing a helmet, I would have been killed. Please insist on significant modifications to ensure the safety of bicyclists at the roundabout. Sincerely, Eric Pierson
10/23/2025	Resident along project	Thanks Dave. I'm actually in Florida, so won't be in attendance (is it broadcast anywhere, like a YouTube channel?). I'll try to get somebody up there though to listen in if I can. Troy
10/23/2025		OK thanks. On initial review I just have a couple questions. When I asked Caroline the other day if the slough bridge on Grant Blvd was going to be replaced, she said no because there wasn't money for that. Did that change? These drawings say it will be replaced. If replaced, is the plan to have it high enough to allow boat traffic through it (runabouts, not fishing boats)? Is Bridge Avenue going to be widened along the area of my property into what is currently our yard and driveway access points? Thanks Troy
10/23/2025		A: Like all three options but I like Option A the best.
10/23/2025		A: I believe Option A will keep traffic flowing the best. Having a roundabout at the base of the bridge will create a bottleneck.
10/23/2025		A: No additional comments
10/23/2025		A: Get it done!
10/23/2025		A and B: No Additional Comments
10/23/2025		B: Bike safety! Roundabouts need well-marked and well-maintained bike/walking paths
10/23/2025		B: No additional comments
10/23/2025		C: Concept C is better for the flow of traffic.
10/23/2025		C: My choice is the design with the two roundabouts as it seems to be the safest at all intersections
10/23/2025		C: No additional comments
10/23/2025		C: Love C the best. Better access for seniors at the grocery store. Love additional trail on either side. New Bridges over slough/culvert. Easy transition off the bridge.
10/23/2025		C: Thumbs up!
10/23/2025		C: Thanks! Looks great! Option 3 with 2 roundabouts is likely best.
10/23/2025		C: Very excited for trail/pedestrian improvements!


